

FORENSIC ANALYSIS REPORT

MCRO | Exhibit EML-E — Authentication Registry & Narrative Timeline (Part 1 of 3)

Report Date	March 2026
Exhibit Filed	July 19, 2025 — Docs. 66 & 67, Guertin v. Walz (0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM)
Case Reference	State of Minnesota v. Guertin 27-CR-23-1886
Court	Fourth Judicial District Court, Hennepin County
Email Chain Span	February 7, 2023 – July 25, 2024 (~534 days)
Total Emails Authenticated	79 emails with SHA-256 + OTS + PACER three-layer authentication
Total Attachments Inventoried	73 unique files (281 attachment pages)
Primary Correspondents	Matthew Guertin (Defendant, Pro Se) ↔ Bruce Rivers (Defense Attorney)
Database	PostgreSQL (Supabase) — MCRO Forensic Schema
Data Source	Two PACER-filed exhibit PDFs + MCRO database cross-reference
Series Position	Part 1 of 3 Authentication & Narrative (this report)

Source: Matthew Guertin v. State of Minnesota | 27-CR-23-1886 | MCRO Forensic Database | MattGuertin.com

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

This report presents an objective forensic authentication registry and narrative analysis of Exhibit EML-E, a 79-email exhibit filed into federal court as Docs. 66–67 in *Guertin v. Walz* (0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM). The analysis is limited to: (1) authenticating the exhibit's provenance and cryptographic integrity chain; (2) inventorying all emails and attachments with their SHA-256 hashes; (3) summarizing the substantive content of each email thread; (4) cross-referencing email dates against the MCRO database docket record for case 27-CR-23-1886; and (5) cataloging verifiable factual claims for future independent verification.

This report does not assert conclusions about guilt, fabrication, intent, or criminal motive. Factual claims made by correspondents within the emails are cataloged for verification in Parts 2 and 3—they are not endorsed or validated by this report. Each finding represents documented, reproducible data. Email content is summarized; readers should consult the source exhibits for complete text.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Key Findings

- Exhibit EML-E comprises 79 digitally authenticated email messages spanning February 7, 2023 through July 25, 2024 (~534 days), split across two federal court filings (Doc. 66: 232 pages; Doc. 67: 173 pages; 405 pages combined).
- Every email carries a three-layer authentication chain: SHA-256 hash of the .eml source file, OpenTimestamps (OTS) Bitcoin blockchain timestamp, and PACER federal court filing (July 19, 2025). All 79 emails have OTS timestamp markers and metadata markers confirmed present.
- The email chain documents the complete defense counsel relationship between Matthew Guertin (defendant) and Bruce Rivers (defense attorney), beginning 17 days after the January 21, 2023 criminal charges origination and ending approximately 3 months after Rivers' departure.
- Of 79 emails, Guertin sent 66 (83.5%), Rivers sent 11 (13.9%), and 2 were sent by other parties (1.3%: a court official and a paralegal at Rivers' firm).
- 17 emails (21.5%) are forwards of pre-existing evidence chains; 39 (49.4%) are replies; 23 (29.1%) are original messages.
- 73 unique attachments were inventoried across 28 emails, including PhotoRobot/AI fraud evidence PDFs, patent documents, an FTC fraud report, an FBI/IC3 report, the first Rule 20.01 evaluation report (Rogstad), a Motion to Compel Discovery, discovery comparison analysis, and court filings.
- The docket for case 27-CR-23-1886 contains 246 events spanning January 24, 2023 through December 2, 2025. Four felony charges (1x reckless discharge, 3x possession of firearm without serial number) were filed on the January 21, 2023 offense date. The case status remains Open.
- The first Rule 20.01 evaluation order was issued January 25, 2023—just 4 days after charges. The Rogstad evaluation report was distributed March 10, 2023 (event index 231–232). Rivers provided this report to Guertin via email 20 on March 25, 2023.
- A ~159-day communication gap exists between August 4, 2023 (email 62) and January 10, 2024 (email 63). During this gap, Guertin filed his first-ever court motion on January 5, 2024 (Demand for Discovery, event index 218).
- Rivers' departure is documented in emails 72–73 (April 3, 2024). Guertin initiated the dismissal by filing a Motion to Proceed Pro Se. Rivers' response was two words: "Call me."

Corpus Overview

Metric	Value
Total .eml files authenticated	79
Intentionally omitted emails	0 (all 79 emails in original sequence 01–79 are present)
Total unique attachments inventoried	73
Total SHA-256 hashes documented	152 (79 emails + 73 attachments)
Date span of email chain	Feb 7, 2023 – Jul 25, 2024 (~534 days)
Days: charges origination → first email	17 days
Days: first email → Rivers' departure	~421 days
Days: first email → last email	~534 days
Primary correspondent	Bruce Rivers (defense attorney)
Unique correspondents identified	5 (Guertin, Rivers, Biglow, Wilson, Sanders)
Emails sent by Guertin	66
Emails sent by Rivers	11
Emails sent by others	2

Emails containing attachments	28
Emails that are forwards (Fw:)	17
Total pages: E1 + E2	405 (232 + 173)
Page split point	E1 ends at email 52 (p. 226); E2 begins at email 53 (p. 227)
Longest communication gap	~159 days (Aug 4, 2023 → Jan 10, 2024)
Total verifiable factual claims cataloged	62 (see Appendix D)

METHODS

Analytical Approach

This report was produced through systematic parsing of two PACER-filed exhibit PDFs and cross-referencing against the MCRO forensic database. The analysis proceeded in six phases:

Phase 1 — Exhibit-Level Authentication: Both PDFs were examined for PACER filing metadata (case number, document number, filing date, page stamping), PDF producer metadata, page counts, and internal exhibit numbering format.

Phase 2 — Email Extraction: Text was extracted from both PDFs using pdftotext. Each email's header block (From, To, Date, SHA-256 hash, page count, OTS/metadata markers) was parsed programmatically. All 79 emails were inventoried with their cryptographic identifiers.

Phase 3 — Attachment Inventory: All attachment header blocks were similarly parsed, yielding 73 unique attachment SHA-256 hashes across 281 attachment reference pages.

Phase 4 — Narrative Analysis: Each email's body text was read and summarized by thread. Factual claims were extracted and cataloged. Communication patterns (sender distribution, forward frequency, temporal clustering) were quantified.

Phase 5 — Database Cross-Reference: The MCRO forensic database was queried for case 27-CR-23-1886 docket events, charges, defense attorney records, and judicial officer assignments. All queries were SELECT-only against the live database.

Phase 6 — Timeline Integration: Email dates were aligned with docket event dates to produce a merged timeline identifying correspondences and gaps between the email record and the official court record.

Database Tables Used

parents_case_events (246 events for case 27-CR-23-1886), parents_charges (4 charges), parents_attorneys_defense_active (2 current attorneys), parents_attorneys_defense_inactive (1: Bruce Rivers), case_registry (case/cluster metadata), parents (case status). All SQL queries are reproduced in Appendix A.

Scope Note: Email Count Discrepancy

The report request indicated 76 emails with 3 intentionally omitted (positions 59, 61, and 76 in the original sequence). However, parsing of the actual exhibit PDFs reveals that all 79 emails in the original sequence (01–79) are present. Emails at positions 59, 61, and 76 are included in the exhibit with full SHA-256 hashes, headers, and content. This report therefore documents 79 emails, not 76. The discrepancy is noted for the record; the exhibit PDFs are treated as the authoritative source.

FINDINGS

Finding 1: Exhibit-Level Authentication

Background

Exhibit EML-E was filed as two separate documents into the federal case *Guertin v. Walz* (0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM) on July 19, 2025. The split was necessary due to file size constraints imposed by the CM/ECF electronic filing system. Together, the two documents form a single continuous exhibit.

Findings

Property	EML-E1 (Doc. 66)	EML-E2 (Doc. 67)
Case Number	0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM	0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM
Document Number	66	67
Filed Date	07/19/2025	07/19/2025
PACER Pages	232	173
PDF Producer	PyPDF2; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 ... Administrative Office of the United States Courts	PyPDF2; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 ... Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Modification Date	Jul 20, 2025 03:31:11 UTC	Jul 20, 2025 03:32:12 UTC
Page Size	648 x 986.4 pts	648 x 986.4 pts
PDF Version	1.7	1.7
Emails Contained	1–52 (exhibit pp. 1–226)	53–79 (exhibit pp. 227–395)
Page Stamp Format	CASE 0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM Doc. 66 Filed 07/19/25 Page X of 232	CASE 0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM Doc. 67 Filed 07/19/25 Page X of 173
Exhibit Page Format	EXHIBIT EML-E p. N	EXHIBIT EML-E p. N
Split Point	Ends after email 52 (Re: The 6th Amendment)	Begins at email 53 (Re: The 6th Amendment)

Significance

The PDF producer string “PyPDF2; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 ... Administrative Office of the United States Courts” is the standard PACER CM/ECF filing stamp applied to all electronically filed federal court documents. This confirms both PDFs were processed through the federal court’s electronic filing system. The modification dates (July 20, 2025, approximately 03:31–03:32 UTC) indicate processing occurred shortly after the July 19, 2025 filing date, consistent with standard CM/ECF processing. The continuous exhibit page numbering (p. 1 through p. 395) across both documents confirms they constitute a unified exhibit.

Finding 2: Authentication Methodology

Background

Each email in Exhibit EML-E carries cryptographic authentication artifacts. Understanding these artifacts is essential for evaluating the exhibit's evidentiary value.

Findings

The exhibit employs a three-layer authentication chain:

Layer 1 — SHA-256 File Integrity: Each .eml file (the raw email source, including full headers, MIME structure, and attachments) is hashed using SHA-256, a cryptographic hash function that produces a unique 64-character hexadecimal fingerprint. Any modification to the file—even a single byte change—produces a completely different hash. The SHA-256 hash of each .eml file is printed in the exhibit header block. All 79 emails and 73 unique attachments carry SHA-256 hashes.

Layer 2 — OpenTimestamps (OTS) Blockchain Timestamping: Each .eml file was timestamped using OpenTimestamps, which anchors the file's SHA-256 hash to a Bitcoin blockchain transaction. This proves the file existed in its exact form on or before the timestamp date. The “[.ots timestamp of .eml source file]” marker is confirmed present on all 79 emails.

Layer 3 — PACER Federal Court Filing: The exhibit was filed into the federal court record on July 19, 2025, creating a permanent judicial record. The PACER filing stamp on every page confirms the exhibit's inclusion in the official court record.

Additionally, each email carries a “[Metadata of .eml source file]” marker (confirmed present on all 79 emails) and a “[.eml source file]” marker indicating the original PDF (available at MnCourtFraud.Substack.com/p/eml) contains these files as embedded objects. The PACER-stamped version may not preserve embedded file functionality.

Significance

The combination of SHA-256 + OTS + PACER creates a cryptographic chain of custody that makes post-hoc modification of the email content detectable. Any alteration to an email file would produce a different SHA-256 hash, which would fail verification against both the OTS blockchain record and the PACER-filed exhibit.

Finding 3: Thread-by-Thread Narrative Summary

Background

The 79 emails organize into 25 subject-line threads spanning 5 thematic phases: early defense coordination (Feb–Mar 2023), evidence research and forwarding (Mar–Jun 2023), rights assertion (Jun–Aug 2023), a communication gap (~159 days), and post-gap/post-counsel activity (Jan–Jul 2024). The following narrative summaries are derived from exhibit parsing.

Thread 1: “I found the other picture” — Emails 1–2 (Feb 7, 2023) [+17 days]

Guertin writes to Rivers describing photographic evidence of military-grade wireless PTZ cameras (identified as Israeli Special Ops Gear) found atop his treadmill prototype during welding. He references a welder who claimed CIA and Marines background, and a Minnetonka police report filed January 12, 2023. Guertin forwards to Rivers an email originally drafted for Minnetonka PD investigator Samantha Johnson. Five image attachments are included. Rivers responds 4 hours later (email 2); the response is a reply to the thread.

Thread 2: “Psych-Services” — Emails 3 (Feb 9, 2023) [+19 days]

Guertin sends Rivers a communication about psychological services with reference number J0427. One PDF attachment (20230209090554498.pdf) is included. This appears to be an administrative communication related to the Rule 20.01 evaluation process ordered 4 days after charges.

Thread 3: “My psychological evaluation” — Emails 4 (Feb 9–10, 2023) [+20 days]

Guertin writes to Rivers about his psychological evaluation. This is a 4-page email in which Guertin provides extensive context about his background, professional credentials, and the circumstances of the incident. He describes his patent (US 11,577,177), his work in the entertainment technology industry, and his belief that the criminal charges are connected to patent fraud.

Thread 4: “When are we meeting?” — Emails 5–6 (Feb 15, 2023) [+25 days]

Brief logistical exchange (11 minutes apart). Guertin asks Rivers when they will meet; Rivers responds. Both emails are single-page.

Thread 5: “Netflix prior art” — Emails 7 (Feb 17, 2023) [+27 days]

Guertin forwards Rivers a USPTO third-party submission receipt related to a prior art filing against the Netflix patent (US 11,810,254). One PDF attachment (2023-02-17 3rd Pty Submission Receipt.pdf) is included. This establishes contemporaneous documentation of Guertin’s patent dispute activity 27 days after charges.

Thread 6: “Criminal DEFENSE attorney.... please DEFEND me” — Emails 8–11 (Feb 22 – Mar 11, 2023) [+32–49 days]

Emotionally charged thread spanning ~17 days. Email 8 (Feb 22, from Guertin) is a 2-page message in which Guertin states he will not accept a plea deal, describes plans to obtain industry character statements (naming Greg Hermanovick of SideFX/Derivative.ca and Vello Virkhaus of XiteLabs), states he has 100GB of forensic evidence of computer hacking and fraud, wants a duress defense, and criticizes Rivers for not reading his emails, not reviewing the patent he provided, and asking his mother to verify his Saudi Arabia show claim. Rivers responds 16 days later (email 9, Mar 10) with three words: “I’m totally with you.” Guertin follows up the same evening (emails 10–11) noting his court date and that his CA psychiatrist will write a letter.

Thread 7: “Letter from my CA psychologist” — Emails 12–13 (Mar 14–15, 2023) [+52–53 days]

Guertin informs Rivers he is obtaining a letter from Dr. Martin Schuster of Schuster Medical Research in Van Nuys, CA, his psychiatrist of approximately 6–7 years. Guertin asks what key topics the letter should cover. Email 13 appears to be a follow-up sent the next day with minimal text.

Thread 8: “My research on the serial number charges” — Emails 14–18 (Mar 23–24, 2023) [+61–62 days]

Guertin sends Rivers independent legal research on the serial number charges (Minn. Stat. 609.667(3)). Email 14 contains cited articles about federal ghost gun regulations, the Gun Control Act of 1968, and ATF guidance indicating that non-licensed individuals building firearms for personal use are not federally required to serialize

them. Rivers forwards this email (email 15) back to Guertin within 4 minutes, suggesting he forwarded it to himself or an associate. Guertin and Rivers exchange 3 more messages the same day and the following day.

Thread 9: “Please forward me psych evaluation report” — Emails 19–25 (Mar 25 – Apr 4, 2023) [+63–73 days]

Guertin requests the Rogstad Rule 20.01 report from Rivers. Email 20 (Mar 25, from Rivers via riverslawyers@gmail.com) is titled “(No Subject)” and contains the Rule 20.01 Evaluation Report as a 10-page PDF attachment (exhibit pages 38–47). This is the first Rule 20.01 report by Jill Rogstad. The thread continues with 5 additional exchanges over 10 days as Guertin processes the report content. The docket confirms Rogstad’s report was distributed on March 10, 2023 (event index 231–232), meaning Rivers provided it to Guertin 15 days later.

Thread 10: “About my court case tomorrow....” — Emails 26–30 (Apr 3–7, 2023) [+72–76 days]

Five emails surrounding the April 4, 2023 court appearance. Guertin writes the evening before. Rivers responds (email 28). The docket shows a “Request for Continuance” filed by Rivers on March 27–28 (event indices 228–230) and another Request for Continuance on April 4 (event index 227). The April court date appears to have been continued.

Thread 11: “All of it is fake — PhotoRobot is a complete fraud” — Emails 31–37 (Apr 18, 2023) [+87 days]

Dense rapid-fire sequence: 7 forwarded emails in approximately 4 minutes (20:03–20:07 UTC). Guertin forwards to Rivers a pre-existing email chain originally sent to another party, containing extensive PhotoRobot/AI fraud evidence. Attachments include multiple research PDFs documenting AI checkerboard artifacts, photo forensics analysis, historical website screenshots (PhotoRobot 2010–2016, Snap36 2010–2021, 1WorldSync), product catalog PDFs, and visual comparisons. Total attachment count across emails 31–37: approximately 25 PDF attachments spanning ~85 exhibit pages.

Thread 12: “Absolute proof their YouTube videos are AI generated” — Emails 38 (Apr 18, 2023) [+87 days]

Standalone email sent ~90 minutes after the Thread 11 cluster. Guertin asserts he has proof that YouTube videos posted by PhotoRobot-related entities are AI-generated. Single-page email, no attachments.

Thread 13: “More Proof it is all fake” — Emails 39–44 (Apr 20, 2023) [+89 days]

Six forwarded emails in ~3 minutes. Continues the PhotoRobot evidence cluster. Attachments include “PASS 1-7” analysis PDFs comparing website images across time periods (PhotoRobot 2010–2014, Snap36 2010–2021, 1WorldSync). Approximately 65 exhibit pages of attachment content.

Thread 14: “Here it is Mr. Rivers” — Emails 45–46 (May 2–3, 2023) [+101 days]

Formal address (“Mr. Rivers”) suggests Guertin is delivering a specific document Rivers requested. Reply arrives ~65 minutes later. Both are single-page emails.

Thread 15: “FTC Fraud Report Filed” — Emails 47–49 (May 3, 2023) [+102 days]

Guertin notifies Rivers that he has filed fraud reports with the FTC and FBI (IC3). Email 47 includes the FTC report PDF. Email 48 includes the IC3 report. Email 49 includes Netflix certified mail return receipts showing physical mail sent to Netflix regarding the patent dispute. Guertin uses his infiniset.com email address (Matt@infiniset.com) for this thread.

Thread 16: “June 14th @ 9am” — Emails 50 (May 28, 2023) [+127 days]

Guertin asks Rivers to ensure the June 14 hearing happens in person (not via Zoom) and states he is “turning it into an event and inviting all of my friends and whatnot for moral support.” The docket confirms a June 14, 2023 hearing (event index 225–226) that resulted in an Order for Continuance by Judge Julia Dayton Klein.

Thread 17: “The 6th Amendment” — Emails 51–54 (Jun 9–10, 2023) [+139–140 days]

Guertin raises Sixth Amendment concerns, arguing that Zoom hearings violate his right to a public trial. Rivers responds (email 52): “I’ll request an in person appearance.” Guertin follows up (email 53) with a one-line comment about the court proceedings. Email 54 is a 3-page message continuing the discussion.

Thread 18: “Unethical behavior, my FBI report, and the AI generated videos” — Emails 55–56 (Jun 16, 2023) [+146 days]

Guertin escalates. The subject line combines ethics concerns, FBI reporting, and AI fraud evidence. Two emails ~38 minutes apart. Guertin raises concerns about the handling of his case and references the FBI report filed via IC3. This thread documents Guertin’s growing frustration with what he perceives as inadequate response to the evidence he has been providing.

Thread 19: “I need advice asap / LINKS: Exam and Preliminary Hearing” — Emails 57–60 (Aug 1, 2023) [+192 days]

Four emails on a single day after a ~46-day gap since the previous thread. Guertin seeks urgent morning advice about his case. Email 58 forwards Zoom hearing links. Email 59 contains 16 attachments including Guertin’s granted patent (US 11,577,177), the IC3 report, a Microsoft patent filing reference, the FTC report, a Minnetonka police report, Dr. Schuster’s letter, IP attorney correspondence, a Best Buy corporate introduction, and Netflix-related USPTO documents. Email 60 is Rivers’ response. The docket shows a second Rule 20.01 evaluation was ordered on November 15, 2023 (event index 219) by Judge Dayton Klein.

Thread 20: “Dr Schuster Report” — Emails 61–62 (Aug 1–4, 2023) [+192–195 days]

Email 61 is from Michael Biglow (michael@biglowlaw.com)—not Rivers—and concerns the Dr. Schuster report, with Dr. Schuster’s letter attached. This appears to be the second Rule 20.01 evaluation context. Email 62 (Aug 4, from Guertin) is a reply to the Schuster report thread with 8 photo attachments dated February 5, 2023.

~159-Day Communication Gap (Aug 4, 2023 → Jan 10, 2024)

A ~159-day gap separates Thread 20 from Thread 21. During this period, the MCRO docket records: the second Rule 20.01 evaluation order (Nov 15, 2023, Judge Dayton Klein, event 219); the second Rule 20 evaluation report and distribution (Jan 11, 2024, event 216–217); and Guertin’s first-ever court motion—a Demand or Request for Discovery (Jan 5, 2024, event 218). The August 3, 2023 “Set B” discovery materials were provided during this gap.

Thread 21: “Matt Guertin / LinkedIn Search Graph” — Emails 63–71 (Jan 10–26, 2024) [+354–370 days]

Nine emails over 16 days. Guertin discovers and documents LinkedIn surveillance activity targeting his profile, presenting a “Search Graph” analysis (PNG attachment) showing systematic monitoring. Attachments include a LinkedIn-Email-PGP-Headers-PROOF.zip, his patent, the Netflix patent (US 11,810,254), and his January 5, 2024 Demand for Discovery court filing. Rivers responds (email 67, Jan 12). Thread begins 5 days after Guertin’s first pro se motion.

Thread 22: “NOTICE OF DISMISSAL AS DEFENSE COUNSEL” — Emails 72–73 (Apr 3, 2024) [+438 days]

Guertin initiates the dismissal, sending Rivers his filed “Motion to Proceed as Pro Se” (8-page PDF attachment citing the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments, *Indiana v. Edwards*, and Minn. Stat. § 611.14). He requests Rivers submit a formal Withdrawal of Representation. Rivers responds ~31 minutes later with two words: “Call me.” The docket confirms a Petition to Proceed as ProSe Counsel filed April 3, 2024 (event 211) and a Motion for Judicial Notice filed the same day (event 210).

Thread 23: “Meeting” — Emails 74 (May 10, 2024) [+475 days]

Sent by Kelly Wilson (kwilson@riverslawyers.com), a paralegal at Rivers Law Firm, P.A. Wilson requests Guertin call to schedule a meeting with Rivers for the following Monday. This is ~5 weeks after Rivers’ departure and indicates continued contact between Rivers’ firm and Guertin.

Thread 24: “RE: R20.01 27-CR-23-1886” — Emails 75 (Jul 18, 2024) [+544 days]

Sent by Olivia Sanders (Court Operations Supervisor, Hennepin County District Court) to Jacqueline Perez (prosecutor), Rivers, and Guertin. Contains a Notice of Remote Hearing for October 1, 2024 at 1:30 PM before Judge Koch. The email includes a forwarded message from July 16, 2024 referencing a continuance and Judge Dayton Klein’s recusal. Attachment: Notice of Remote Hearing with Instructions (2-page PDF).

Thread 25: “Fraudulent Discovery Materials” — Emails 76–79 (Jul 25, 2024) [+551 days]

The final and most substantive thread. Email 76 (Guertin to Rivers, 2 pages) is a detailed, methodical analysis of the discovery materials received from Rivers’ office on July 16, 2024. Guertin identifies that images he had

previously claimed were manipulated are missing from the newer discovery set, and attaches a comparative PDF (Rivers-Discovery-Missing-Images.pdf) documenting 30+ missing images. He also identifies false statements in the second Rule 20.01 report by Dr. Adam Milz, specifically: fabricated substance abuse history, false self-harm history, and a determination that his discovery fraud claims constitute “psychotic” thinking warranting anti-psychotic medication. Email 77 is Rivers’ response. Email 78 (Guertin, with 3 attachments including his 40-page Motion to Compel Discovery and an image metadata spreadsheet) continues the analysis. Email 79 is the final email in the exhibit.

Connecting Context

The thread-by-thread narrative reveals a clear arc: early logistical and defense coordination (Threads 1–10), escalation into evidence forwarding mode as Guertin discovers PhotoRobot/AI fraud (Threads 11–15), assertion of constitutional rights and filing of law enforcement reports (Threads 16–18), urgent pre-evaluation correspondence (Threads 19–20), a prolonged communication gap during which Guertin takes his first pro se action, resurgence centered on LinkedIn surveillance and discovery fraud (Threads 21–25), and post-counsel correspondence. The email volume asymmetry (66 Guertin vs. 11 Rivers) and the brevity of Rivers’ responses (“I’m totally with you,” “I’ll request an in person appearance,” “Call me”) are observable patterns.

Finding 4: Docket Event Cross-Reference

Background

The MCRO database contains 246 docket events for case 27-CR-23-1886 spanning January 24, 2023 through December 2, 2025. This finding aligns key email dates with docket events during the email window (Jan 2023–Aug 2024).

Findings

Case 27-CR-23-1886: State of Minnesota v. Matthew David Guertin

Field	Value
Defendant	MATTHEW DAVID GUERTIN
Case Status	Open
Case Type	CR (Criminal)
Cluster ID	1570
Charges	4 felony counts: 1x Dangerous Weapons-Reckless Discharge (609.66.1a(a)(3)); 3x Firearm-Serial Number-Receive/Possess No Serial Number (609.667(3))
Offense Date	January 21, 2023
Law Enforcement	Minnetonka Police Department
Prosecution	Hennepin County Attorney (Jacqueline Perez)
Inactive Defense Counsel	Bruce Rivers (RIVERS, BRUCE MICHAEL)
Active Defense Counsel	Raissa Carpenter (Lead), Emmett Donnelly
Total Docket Events	246

Key Timeline Events (Jan 2023–Aug 2024):

Date	Event	Days Post-Charges
Jan 21, 2023	Offense date (all 4 charges)	—
Jan 24, 2023	Pretrial Release Evaluation; E-Filed Comp-Order for Detention	—
Jan 25, 2023	First Rule 20.01 evaluation ordered (Referee Lyonel Norris)	+4 days
Jan 25, 2023	Probable Cause Found; Statement of Rights; Bond Posted	+4 days
Jan 26, 2023	Hearing Notice (Feb 22 before Judge Jay Quam)	+5 days
Feb 7, 2023	Email 1: First email (Guertin → Rivers)	+17 days
Feb 20, 2023	Rivers files Certificate of Representation, Discovery Request, Continuance	+30 days
Mar 10, 2023	Rogstad Rule 20.01 Report filed/distributed (event 231–232)	+48 days
Mar 25, 2023	Email 20: Rivers sends Rogstad report to Guertin	+63 days
Mar 27–28, 2023	Rivers files Request for Continuance (event 228–230)	+66 days
Apr 4, 2023	Request for Continuance (event 227)	+73 days
Apr 18–20, 2023	Emails 31–44: PhotoRobot/AI fraud evidence cluster (14 emails)	+87–89 days
May 3, 2023	Emails 47–49: FTC & FBI fraud reports filed	+102 days
Jun 14, 2023	Hearing—Judge Dayton Klein orders continuance (event 225–226)	+144 days
Jul 7, 2023	In-Person Hearing; Taken Under Advisement (Referee Borer)	+167 days

Jul 13, 2023	Finding of Incompetency and Order (Judge Browne/Referee Borer)	+173 days
Aug 1, 2023	Emails 57–62: Urgent advice, hearing links, Dr. Schuster thread	+192 days
Aug 3, 2023	“Set B” discovery materials provided (per email 76 narrative)	+194 days
Nov 15, 2023	Second Rule 20.01 evaluation order (Judge Dayton Klein)	+298 days
Jan 5, 2024	Guertin files first-ever court motion: Demand for Discovery (event 218)	+349 days
Jan 10–26, 2024	Emails 63–71: LinkedIn Search Graph thread	+354–370 days
Jan 11, 2024	Second Rule 20 Evaluation Report filed (event 216–217)	+355 days
Jan 16–17, 2024	Found Incompetent; Finding of Incompetency Order (event 212–214)	+360–361 days
Apr 3, 2024	Emails 72–73: Rivers dismissal; Guertin files Pro Se Motion (event 210–211)	+438 days
Apr 4, 2024	Guertin files Motion to Compel Discovery (event 209)	+439 days
May 9, 2024	Third Rule 20.01 evaluation order (Judge Dayton Klein, event 198)	+474 days
Jul 15, 2024	Judge Dayton Klein’s Recusal Order (event 143)	+541 days
Jul 18, 2024	Email 75: Court hearing notice (Sanders → all parties)	+544 days
Jul 25, 2024	Emails 76–79: Fraudulent Discovery Materials thread	+551 days

Significance

The merged timeline reveals that Guertin was actively providing his defense attorney with forensic evidence, legal research, and investigative reports throughout the period when competency evaluations were being conducted and findings of incompetency were being issued. The first incompetency finding (July 13, 2023) occurred after Guertin had sent Rivers approximately 50 emails containing patent documentation, PhotoRobot/AI fraud evidence, FTC and FBI reports, and legal research on the serial number charges.

Finding 5: Communication Pattern Analysis

Findings

Metric	Count	Detail
Guertin → Rivers emails	66	83.5%
Rivers → Guertin emails	11	13.9%
Other senders	2	2.5%
Forward emails (Fw:)	17	21.5%
Reply emails (Re:)	39	49.4%
Original emails	23	29.1%
Emails with attachments	28	35.4%
Guertin email addresses	2	MattGuertin@protonmail.com, Matt@infiniset.com
Rivers email addresses	2	riverslawyers@aol.com, riverslawyers@gmail.com
Unique correspondents	5	Guertin, Rivers, Biglow, Wilson (paralegal), Sanders (court)

Burst clusters: Thread 11 contains 7 emails in ~4 minutes (Apr 18, 2023, 20:03–20:07 UTC). Thread 13 contains 6 emails in ~3 minutes (Apr 20, 2023, 21:31–21:34 UTC). These are rapid-fire forwards of pre-existing evidence chains to Rivers.

Significance

The 6:1 ratio of Guertin-to-Rivers emails, the brevity of Rivers' responses, and the high proportion of evidence-forwarding activity (forwards constitute the largest single category of email types from Guertin) are observable communication patterns. Rivers' substantive responses across 79 emails are limited; his longest responses appear in threads where he is forwarding information or responding to logistical questions.

Finding 6: Broader MCRO Context Connection

Findings

Case 27-CR-23-1886 is the anchor case for the entire MCRO forensic database, which encompasses 4,251 PDFs across 245 cases spanning 2017–2025. The broader MCRO forensic analysis has documented: cloned discovery templates, tracking font objects embedded across hundreds of court documents, batch-produced incompetency orders bearing identical judicial signature image hashes, IronPDF-generated documents with creation dates matching download dates (not filing dates), MSIP enterprise labels spanning three judicial branches from a single Microsoft 365 tenant, and statistically anomalous Rule 20 competency pipeline patterns.

This email exhibit provides contemporaneous, cryptographically authenticated evidence of what Guertin was telling his defense attorney, what forensic evidence he was providing, and what responses he received—during the exact period when Rule 20.01 evaluations were being conducted and findings of incompetency were being issued. The discovery fraud claims documented in Thread 25 (July 2024) should be cross-referenced with the broader discovery fraud findings from the MCRO corpus in future analysis (Parts 2–3).

Exhibit EML-E sits chronologically between Exhibit EML-D (pre-charges, Sep 2022 – Jan 2023) and Exhibit EML-A (post-Rivers, Oct 2024 – Jun 2025), and overlaps with Exhibit EML-B (Guertin-Rogstad correspondence, Feb – Sep 2023). Together, these four exhibits document a continuous email chain spanning September 2022 through June 2025.

KEY METRICS

TIMELINE METRICS	
Charges origination → First email	17 days
Charges origination → First Rule 20.01 order	4 days
First email → Rivers' departure	~421 days
First email → Last email	~534 days
Discovery provision (Aug 3, 2023) → First pro se motion (Jan 5, 2024)	~155 days
First incompetency finding (Jul 13, 2023) → emails already sent to Rivers	~50 emails
VOLUME METRICS	
Total emails	79
Emails per month (average over 18 months)	~4.4
PhotoRobot/AI evidence burst (Apr 18–20, 2023)	14 emails in ~50 hours
Unique attachment files	73
Attachment-bearing emails	28
COMMUNICATION PATTERN METRICS	
Guertin:Rivers email ratio	66:11 (6:1)
Rivers' longest documented responses	"I'm totally with you" (5 words); "I'll request an in person appearance" (7 words)
Forward-to-original ratio	17:23
GAP ANALYSIS	
Longest communication gap	~159 days (Aug 4, 2023 → Jan 10, 2024)
Events during gap	Second R20 order (Nov 15), R20 report (Jan 11), First pro se motion (Jan 5)

LIMITATIONS & ALTERNATIVE EXPLANATIONS

Data Coverage: This report covers only the emails selected for inclusion in Exhibit EML-E. The Guertin-Rivers email correspondence may include additional messages not filed in this exhibit. Phone calls, text messages, and in-person meetings are not captured.

Narrative Reliance on Exhibit Parsing: Email content was extracted from PACER-stamped PDFs using pdftotext, which may introduce minor formatting artifacts. All SHA-256 hashes were parsed programmatically; manual verification of a sample is recommended.

Factual Claims Not Verified: The Factual Claims Registry (Appendix D) catalogs claims made by correspondents within the emails. All claims carry PENDING verification status. This report does not endorse or validate any factual claim.

Database Scope: The MCRO database cross-reference is limited to what is captured in the parents_case_events table and related tables. Some docket events may lack associated PDF documents (has_pdf = false), limiting analysis of those events.

Communication Pattern Interpretation: The observed 6:1 Guertin-to-Rivers email ratio and the brevity of Rivers' responses may reflect: (a) Rivers' preference for phone communication over email; (b) professional practice norms for criminal defense attorneys; (c) the nature of the attorney-client relationship; or (d) other factors not visible in the email record. The pattern is documented without attributing cause.

Email Count Discrepancy: The report request indicated 76 emails with 3 omitted. The actual exhibit contains 79. This discrepancy is documented in the Methods section.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION

1. **OTS Verification:** Each .eml file's SHA-256 hash can be independently verified against the Bitcoin blockchain using the corresponding .ots timestamp files available at MnCourtFraud.Substack.com/p/eml. This provides independent confirmation that the email content existed in its exact form on or before the blockchain timestamp date.
2. **SHA-256 Hash Verification:** The .eml source files (available at the Substack link above) can be independently hashed using any SHA-256 implementation and compared against the hashes printed in the exhibit.
3. **PACER Record Verification:** Both exhibit PDFs can be retrieved from PACER (case 0:25-cv-02670-PAM-DLM, Docs. 66–67) or from CourtListener to confirm filing provenance.
4. **Docket Cross-Reference:** The MCRO database docket events cited in this report can be independently verified against MCRO public records for case 27-CR-23-1886.
5. **Parts 2–3:** Independent verification of factual claims (patent records, FTC/FBI reports, PhotoRobot evidence, discovery materials) is deferred to Parts 2 and 3 of this report series.

APPENDIX | REPRODUCIBLE SQL QUERIES

A1 — Case 27-CR-23-1886 Overview

```
SELECT cr.case_uid, cr.case_id, cr.defendant_name, cr.cluster_id, cr.cluster_name,
       p.case_status, p.case_type
FROM case_registry cr
LEFT JOIN parents p ON cr.case_uid = p.case_uid
WHERE cr.case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886';
```

A2 — All Docket Events for 27-CR-23-1886

```
SELECT event_index, event_json__date as event_date, event_name, event_name_pretty,
       event_json__filing_party_name as filing_party_name,
       event_json__judicial_officer as judicial_officer
FROM parents_case_events
WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886'
ORDER BY event_index;
```

A3 — Defense Attorneys (Active and Inactive)

```
-- Active
SELECT attorney_index, attorney_json__attorney_norm, attorney_json__is_lead
FROM parents_attorneys_defense_active
WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886';

-- Inactive
SELECT attorney_index, attorney_json__attorney_norm
FROM parents_attorneys_defense_inactive
WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886';
```

A4 — Charges for 27-CR-23-1886

```
SELECT charge_index, charge_json__charge_name, charge_json__statute,
       charge_json__level_of_charge, charge_json__offense_date,
       charge_json__community_of_offense, charge_json__law_enforcement_agency
FROM parents_charges
WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886'
ORDER BY charge_index;
```

A5 — Rule 20 Events Filter

```
SELECT event_index, event_json__date, event_name, event_name_pretty,
       event_json__filing_party_name, event_json__judicial_officer
FROM parents_case_events
WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886'
      AND event_name ~* '(rule.?20|incompeten|competenc|found.?incomp|forensic.?nav)'
ORDER BY event_index;
```

APPENDIX B | COMPLETE .EML AUTHENTICATION REGISTRY

The following table lists all 79 authenticated .eml files in sequential order. Full SHA-256 hashes are provided. All emails carry OTS timestamps and metadata markers.

#	Subject	Date	Fr	Src	SHA-256 (truncated)
01	I found the other picture Matthew D Guer	Feb 7, 2023 2:22 AM	G	E1	955076fa88408046022e5e63...
02	Re_I found the other picture Matthew D G	Feb 7, 2023 6:22 AM	R	E1	8b60669293b3f49e6e1ba5b1...
03	Matt Guertin- _J0427-Psych-Services	Feb 9, 2023 11:57 AM	G	E1	1276593d770faa4004212014...
04	My psychological evaluation - Matt Guert	Feb 9, 2023 6:17 PM	G	E1	af8c1e2bce46d4e35f57a5de...
05	When are we meeting	Feb 15, 2023 1:14 PM	G	E1	a60f84262ba69bc66b268c06...
06	Re_When are we meeting	Feb 15, 2023 1:25 PM	G	E1	65ac7395bbb500b036f4e32f...
07	Fw_Netflix prior art	Feb 17, 2023 9:46 AM	G	E1	3be31cda8738bd17e953f2eb...
08	Criminal DEFENSE attorney.... please DEF	Feb 22, 2023 2:33 PM	G	E1	cc65673620d4c17f9a907d35...
09	Re_Criminal DEFENSE attorney.... please	Mar 10, 2023 9:42 AM	R	E1	f5d28ebe6b77206d04c4da0f...
10	Re_Criminal DEFENSE attorney.... please	Mar 10, 2023 9:21 PM	G	E1	376d9e4e3aafa97c28b2e9a6...
11	Re_Criminal DEFENSE attorney.... please	Mar 10, 2023 9:59 PM	G	E1	c93a0a2da25a311a83fb9f0c...
12	Letter from my CA psychologist - Matthew	Mar 14, 2023 10:00 A	G	E1	5d88de45cfb33ada7eda5e04...
13	Re_Letter from my CA psychologist - Matt	Mar 14, 2023 7:03 PM	G	E1	617ff507d19088ee27f91ef9...
14	My research on the serial number charges	Mar 23, 2023 12:34 P	G	E1	02de2d089be1da4072950ff8...
15	Fwd_My research on the serial number cha	Mar 23, 2023 12:38 P	R	E1	ca729e36a8b9a247583efaac...
16	Re_Fwd_My research on the serial number	Mar 23, 2023 12:51 P	G	E1	53386a387b1e090a8ac20d1c...
17	Re_Fwd_My research on the serial number	Mar 23, 2023 1:00 PM	G	E1	af70fe4b4787df7e806643cc...
18	Re_Fwd_My research on the serial number	Mar 24, 2023 4:26 PM	G	E1	f34715ff8613ab3d0c65cf07...
19	Please forward me psych evaluation repor	Mar 24, 2023 9:22 PM	G	E1	20da4a2afcf3796efd0cb202...
20	(No Subject)	Mar 25, 2023 7:35 AM	R	E1	6aa3e7a7e01880aafcede28b...
21	Re_Please forward me psych evaluation re	Mar 25, 2023 8:20 AM	R	E1	391c2d182bce422a657ed270...
22	Re_Please forward me psych evaluation re	Mar 27, 2023 11:32 A	G	E1	2ef5b8538d23b264e4077258...
23	Re_Please forward me psych evaluation re	Mar 27, 2023 11:46 A	G	E1	c82a8ed207da909a9a3f436b...
24	Re_Please forward me psych evaluation re	Mar 27, 2023 12:11 P	G	E1	12c6ee5080b6ef55e157787d...

25	Re_Please forward me psych evaluation re	Apr 3, 2023 7:11 PM	G	E1	3ccfc953958307b66e1021ec...
26	About my court case tomorrow....	Apr 3, 2023 9:23 PM	G	E1	c3d91dc4fea3bc4ff7f8efdf...
27	Re_About my court case tomorrow....	Apr 4, 2023 2:43 PM	G	E1	1a3dab97c7009a33e03454b2...
28	Re_About my court case tomorrow....	Apr 4, 2023 6:24 PM	R	E1	bd9aa42085e33348ad80818c...
29	Re_About my court case tomorrow....	Apr 6, 2023 9:49 AM	G	E1	39348dd1ab3c25d45d1beba1...
30	Re_About my court case tomorrow....	Apr 7, 2023 12:52 AM	G	E1	3f9ffb792bc650857be8c2df...
31	Fw_All of it is fake - PhotoRobot is a c	Apr 18, 2023 3:03 PM	G	E1	c5ff9e3b56c0b028b135373a...
32	Fw_Re_All of it is fake - PhotoRobot is	Apr 18, 2023 3:04 PM	G	E1	7d62179cc652b1cf558dcc66...
33	Fw_Re_All of it is fake - PhotoRobot is	Apr 18, 2023 3:05 PM	G	E1	8c8e7aedb89fa6674adbe9dc...
34	Fw_Re_All of it is fake - PhotoRobot is	Apr 18, 2023 3:05 PM	G	E1	a5af89de469a56b87a94578a...
35	Fw_Re_All of it is fake - PhotoRobot is	Apr 18, 2023 3:06 PM	G	E1	00560112e761815d5b484795...
36	Fw_Re_All of it is fake - PhotoRobot is	Apr 18, 2023 3:06 PM	G	E1	42fb611cd760cd888e674c05...
37	Fw_Re_All of it is fake - PhotoRobot is	Apr 18, 2023 3:07 PM	G	E1	37b8f445299c4372a5530e7d...
38	Absolute proof their YouTube videos are	Apr 18, 2023 4:35 PM	G	E1	f54ab3f5ed44d8c89d9e6347...
39	Fw_More Proof it is all fake	Apr 20, 2023 4:31 PM	G	E1	6c12339152c55ef65ff46f29...
40	Fw_Re_More Proof it is all fake	Apr 20, 2023 4:32 PM	G	E1	ef1c8b3223cf68c5afd50314...
41	Fw_Re_More Proof it is all fake	Apr 20, 2023 4:32 PM	G	E1	bf71856fcc451867ce74026c...
42	Fw_Re_More Proof it is all fake	Apr 20, 2023 4:33 PM	G	E1	663fa6b55a0e896a68d91612...
43	Fw_Re_More Proof it is all fake	Apr 20, 2023 4:33 PM	G	E1	617cec07a4a95ce0a6ad0b86...
44	Fw_Re_More Proof it is all fake	Apr 20, 2023 4:34 PM	G	E1	dc98fcb1ca08d6a73e1e0cc9...
45	Here it is Mr. Rivers	May 2, 2023 7:34 PM	G	E1	b72927254dbcf849f220331...
46	Re_Here it is Mr. Rivers	May 2, 2023 8:39 PM	G	E1	9e75c497eacaa395f0fdeedf...
47	FTC Fraud Report Filed	May 3, 2023 1:57 PM	G	E1	399a29b4cfdab2fb775164d2...
48	Re_FTC Fraud Report Filed	May 3, 2023 3:08 PM	G	E1	e89eecbc7ea1a122e915c811...
49	Re_FTC Fraud Report Filed	May 3, 2023 4:04 PM	G	E1	3c9f032bca2eeb27e7d18a4d...
50	June 14th @ 9am	May 27, 2023 7:43 PM	G	E1	4ad03746f5b11985cc34fd9e...
51	The 6th Amendment	Jun 9, 2023 1:39 PM	G	E1	1c6823b37c2582c9aec446f9...
52	Re_The 6th Amendment	Jun 9, 2023 1:50 PM	R	E1	75345b64071ec5ed6416178c...
53	Re_The 6th Amendment	Jun 9, 2023 2:03 PM	G	E2	570b420082d356472c235dd0...
54	Re_The 6th Amendment	Jun 10, 2023 5:13 AM	G	E2	b86a915300fe75da89a43b3f...
55	Unethical behavior, my FBI report, and t	Jun 16, 2023 9:28 AM	G	E2	8f16ed34f0f3c9e3cae01b48...
56	Re_Unethical behavior, my FBI	Jun 16, 2023 10:06 A	G	E2	176886367b7999fcd3aa37d9...

	report, an				
57	I need advice asap (this morning..) abou	Aug 1, 2023 6:27 AM	G	E2	567abca4fd32d0f150ae6316...
58	Fw_LINKS_Exam and Preliminary Hearing	Aug 1, 2023 6:30 AM	G	E2	3179bd6f73c95a75aad1f49a...
59	Fw_LINKS_Exam and Preliminary Hearing	Aug 1, 2023 10:14 AM	G	E2	0c9c433f3ab0c4e219f34fdb...
60	Re_LINKS_Exam and Preliminary Hearing	Aug 1, 2023 10:25 AM	G	E2	1dc6205d6670c0ea8fbe23f7...
61	Dr Schuster Report	Aug 1, 2023 3:24 PM	B	E2	05ddeac0ccd3962e2342174a...
62	Re_Dr Schuster Report	Aug 4, 2023 12:11 PM	G	E2	32682f41802f3ba297712a98...
63	Matt Guertin - LinkedIn Search Graph	Jan 10, 2024 4:59 PM	G	E2	385a05ba094cf27cf62fa972...
64	Re_Matt Guertin - LinkedIn Search Graph	Jan 10, 2024 5:03 PM	G	E2	92fe0a1f4626925cbb7ee60a...
65	Re_Matt Guertin - LinkedIn Search Graph	Jan 10, 2024 5:12 PM	G	E2	4438dec4cb93a300d547fdbb...
66	Re_Matt Guertin - LinkedIn Search Graph	Jan 12, 2024 2:16 PM	G	E2	f26af78f061104febb71ddba...
67	Re_Matt Guertin - LinkedIn Search Graph	Jan 12, 2024 3:04 PM	R	E2	3917b42990d96febff48c633...
68	Re_Matt Guertin - LinkedIn Search Graph	Jan 12, 2024 3:37 PM	G	E2	726fa52d77f55d9244688520...
69	Re_Matt Guertin - LinkedIn Search Graph	Jan 14, 2024 12:00 P	G	E2	623d8076c7b6739f27268e68...
70	Re_Matt Guertin - LinkedIn Search Graph	Jan 15, 2024 3:02 PM	G	E2	b1dec3c4876e3f161d7bbde3...
71	Re_Matt Guertin - LinkedIn Search Graph	Jan 26, 2024 4:38 PM	G	E2	f59ee2aa9a6905fe6c20d310...
72	NOTICE OF DISMISSAL AS DEFENSE COUNSEL	Apr 3, 2024 8:07 AM	G	E2	ae1c717e6f51b87f070a26f6...
73	Re_NOTICE OF DISMISSAL AS DEFENSE COUNSE	Apr 3, 2024 8:38 AM	R	E2	c1973bbee23231ed72709d83...
74	Meeting	May 10, 2024 12:49 P	R	E2	5cf473a1dc6c36888dc18781...
75	RE_R20.01 27-CR-23-1886	Jul 18, 2024 8:43 AM	S	E2	9ab274385151ede6acf0fb2a...
76	Matthew Guertin - Fraudulent Discovery M	Jul 25, 2024 11:28 A	G	E2	a566c5c00956d70ee19e8d41...
77	Re_Matthew Guertin - Fraudulent Discover	Jul 25, 2024 11:55 A	R	E2	a01053a70be785ba2ea9a859...
78	Re_Matthew Guertin - Fraudulent Discover	Jul 25, 2024 3:41 PM	G	E2	f732b7ea76585157f17a7db1...
79	Re_Matthew Guertin - Fraudulent Discover	Jul 25, 2024 4:12 PM	G	E2	a00b2df3b713dac830ecdea8...

Key: Fr column: G = Guertin, R = Rivers, B = Biglow, W = Wilson (paralegal), S = Sanders (court). Src: E1 = Doc. 66, E2 = Doc. 67.

APPENDIX D | INITIAL FACTUAL CLAIMS REGISTRY

The following table catalogs verifiable factual claims extracted from the email chain. All claims carry PENDING verification status for Parts 2–3.

Claim ID	Email	Date	Claim (Paraphrase)	Category	Verify Via
EML-E-FC-001	1	Feb 7, 2023	Guertin filed Minnetonka police report on Jan 12, 2023	LAW_ENFORCEMENT	POLICE_RECORDS
EML-E-FC-002	1	Feb 7, 2023	Welder claimed CIA and Marines background	PROFESSIONAL_CREDENTIALS	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-003	1	Feb 7, 2023	Israeli Special Ops cameras found atop treadmill prototype	PROFESSIONAL_CREDENTIALS	POLICE_RECORDS
EML-E-FC-004	4	Feb 10, 2023	Guertin holds US Patent 11,577,177	PATENT_IP	USPTO_RECORDS
EML-E-FC-005	7	Feb 17, 2023	USPTO 3rd party prior art submission filed against Netflix patent	NETFLIX_PATENT	USPTO_RECORDS
EML-E-FC-006	8	Feb 22, 2023	Guertin has 100GB of forensic evidence of fraud/hacking	AI_FRAUD	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-007	8	Feb 22, 2023	Featured on front page of derivative.ca	PROFESSIONAL_CREDENTIALS	WEB_ARCHIVE
EML-E-FC-008	8	Feb 22, 2023	Worked at V Squared Labs / XiteLabs in LA	PROFESSIONAL_CREDENTIALS	PUBLIC_ARTICLES
EML-E-FC-009	8	Feb 22, 2023	Performed show for King of Saudi Arabia	PROFESSIONAL_CREDENTIALS	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-010	8	Feb 22, 2023	20+ year client of Bruce Rivers	DEFENSE_COUNSEL_CONDUCT	COURT_RECORDS
EML-E-FC-011	11	Mar 11, 2023	Patent attorney setting up digital forensics via their firm	PATENT_IP	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-012	12	Mar 14, 2023	Dr. Martin Schuster, CA psychiatrist for ~6-7 years	PROFESSIONAL_CREDENTIALS	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-013	14	Mar 23, 2023	Federal law does not require serial numbers on homemade firearms for personal use	SERIAL_NUMBER_CHARGES	PUBLIC_ARTICLES
EML-E-FC-014	31-37	Apr 18, 2023	PhotoRobot websites contain AI-generated product images	PHOTOROBOT_FRAUD	WEB_ARCHIVE
EML-E-FC-015	38	Apr 18, 2023	PhotoRobot YouTube videos are AI-generated	AI_FRAUD	WEB_ARCHIVE
EML-E-FC-016	47	May 3, 2023	FTC fraud report filed May 3, 2023	LAW_ENFORCEMENT	FTC_RECORDS
EML-E-FC-017	48	May 3, 2023	FBI/IC3 fraud report filed May 3, 2023	LAW_ENFORCEMENT	PUBLIC_ARTICLES
EML-E-FC-018	49	May 3, 2023	Certified mail sent to Netflix regarding patent dispute	NETFLIX_PATENT	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-019	51	Jun 9, 2023	Zoom hearings violate Sixth Amendment public trial right	SIXTH_AMENDMENT	COURT_RECORDS
EML-E-FC-020	55	Jun 16, 2023	Unethical behavior occurring in case proceedings	DEFENSE_COUNSEL_CONDUCT	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-021	63	Jan 10, 2024	LinkedIn Search Graph shows surveillance of Guertin profile	LINKEDIN_SURVEILLANCE	WEB_ARCHIVE
EML-E-FC-	63	Jan 10,	Filed Demand for Discovery on	DISCOVERY_FRAUD	MCRO_DATABASE

022		2024	Jan 5, 2024	D	
EML-E-FC-023	72	Apr 3, 2024	Filed Motion to Proceed Pro Se on Apr 3, 2024	DEFENSE_COUNSEL_CONDUCT	MCRO_DATABASE
EML-E-FC-024	76	Jul 25, 2024	Discovery materials from Aug 3, 2023 contain manipulated images	DISCOVERY_FRAUD	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-025	76	Jul 25, 2024	Images identified as manipulated are missing from Jul 16, 2024 discovery	DISCOVERY_FRAUD	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-026	76	Jul 25, 2024	Dr. Milz Rule 20 report contains false substance abuse history	RULE20_REPORT	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-027	76	Jul 25, 2024	No history of crack cocaine or DMT use as characterized	RULE20_REPORT	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-028	76	Jul 25, 2024	No history of self-harm or suicidal ideation	RULE20_REPORT	SELF-REFERENTIAL
EML-E-FC-029	76	Jul 25, 2024	Milz report uses discovery fraud claims as evidence of psychosis	RULE20_REPORT	SELF-REFERENTIAL

Note: This is a representative sample of 29 claims from a total of approximately 62 cataloged. All verification statuses are PENDING for Parts 2–3. Complete registry to be expanded in subsequent parts.

END OF REPORT — PART 1 OF 3